

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,186	07/09/2001	Kouichi Narahara	R2184.0106/P106 5750	
<sup>24998</sup> DICKSTEIN S	7590 01/16/2007 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1825 EYE STR	REET NW	HILLERY, NATHAN		
wasnington, D	OC 20006-5403	•	· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

102.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/900,186	NARAHARA, KOUICHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nathan Hillery	2176		

	Nathan Hillery	2176					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply much	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1 136(a). The date		(36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS	hut wing to the date of filings a brief	will not be entered b	0001100				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause				
		i L below),					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 52-85</u> .		•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		•				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attack	nea.				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:							
		H Herndon Heather R. Herndon					

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100

## Application No. 09/900,186

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments seek to cancel claims, change claim dependencies, and introduce new limitations thus creating a new arrangement of features not previously considered. Consequently, the amendment significantly changes the scope of all the claims and requires further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are substantially directed to the newly amended limitations that have not been previously considered.